The Phoenix Center 1342 Hotel Street Alcolu, SC 29001 Grades 9-12 High School **Enrollment** 58 Students **Principal** Anne Darby John E. Tindal Superintendent **Board Chair** William H. Johnson The State of South Carolina **Annual School** 2005 Report Card ABSOLUTE RATING Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 5 3 6 9 IMPROVEMENT RATING ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS This school met 5 out of 5 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups. Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

803-505-6800

803-435-4435

(803) 435-4435

AVERAGE

AVERAGE

YES

5

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Excellent	N/A	No
2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2005	Average	Average	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS **High Schools with Our School** Students Like Ours Percent 2004 2005 2006 2004 2005 2006 Passed 2 subtests N/A 37.5 N/A 66.4 59.0 N/A Passed 1 subtest N/A 37.5 N/A 17.1 19.4 N/A Passed no subtests 100.0 25.0 N/A 20.4 21.6 N/A

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2005 Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Percent I/S 92.5%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP								
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours						
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	0.0	4.3						
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	0.0	4.5						
Seniors who met the grade point average	22.2	35.2						

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE				
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	19	105		
Number of Diplomas	17	151		
Rate	89.5%	69.8%		

ORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUP

	Exit Exam Rate by S	•	• ,		Graduation Ra		Rate
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective
All Students	9	I/S	18	0.0	19	89.5	YES
Gender							
Male	4	I/S	11	0.0	14	92.9	N/A
Female	5	I/S	7	I/S	5	I/S	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	6	I/S	11	0.0	10	90.0	N/A
African American	3	I/S	7	0.0	9	I/S	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
Non disabled	5	I/S	N/A	N/A	19	89.5	N/A
Disabilities other than speech	4	I/S	3	I/S	0	N/A	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Non-migrant	9	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	9	I/S	N/A	N/A	2	I/S	N/A
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	6	I/S	12	0.0	12	91.7	N/A
Full-pay meals	3	I/S	N/A	N/A	7	I/S	N/A

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

The Phoenix Center									1
HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO	OUP								
	Enrollment 1st		% Below Basic	υ /	Τ.	. / .	% Proficient and	<u> </u>	<u>. [</u>
	/ '	% Tested	, / 👸	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced] E	Performance Objective	Participation Objection
	[] E	ž / ž	/ ×	8g	j	/sa_	jg 8] <u> </u>	? /:̈́̈́̈; ;́́
	10 70	/ %	Be	/ %	/ %	/ %	18.8	[/ £ . £	, [j. 4]
	A B	/	/ %	/	/ ``	/	% ₹	1-0	/ ~ 0
	nglish/Lan	r guage Art	s - State	<i>l</i> Performa	nce Obie	tive = 33	3%		
All Students	8	100.0	25.0	62.5	12.5	N/A	12.5	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Female	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obie	ective = 30	0.0%			
All Students	8	100.0	62.5	37.5	N/A	N/A	12.5	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Female	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
Ctudanto (n= 50)	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 58)	40.50/	H- f 40 00/	40.00/	0.40/
Retention rate Attendance rate	12.5% 96.3%	Up from 10.3% No change	10.8% 95.6%	8.1% 95.6%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No change	2.2%	5.9%
With disabilities other than speech	0.0%	No change	15.3%	13.3%
Older than usual for grade	31.0%	Down from 35.2%	12.6%	10.1%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses		Down from 5.6%	2.0%	2.0%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	No change	4.7%	9.7%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	29.0%	53.7%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	3.4%	3.0%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A	N/A	3.8%	3.1%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	N/A	N/A	357	431
Students participating in worked-based experiences	N/A	N/A	9.8%	23.4%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	N/A	N/A	75.6%	78.6%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	98.4%	99.4%
Teachers (n= 6)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	0.0%	N/A	48.3%	54.5%
Continuing contract teachers	0.0%	N/A	70.1%	78.6%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers with emergency or provisional	100.0% 100.0%	N/A N/A	87.9% 15.8%	89.1% 9.1%
certificates				
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	N/A 97.8%	N/A No change	81.8% 95.2%	86.9% 95.4%
Average teacher salary	\$28.500	I/S	\$40.880	\$42.426
Prof. development days/teacher	6.7 days	Up from 5.0 days	11.3 davs	10.9 days
School				
Principal's years at school	6.0	Up from 0.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	11.2 to 1	Up from 11.0 to 1	25.4 to 1	25.8 to 1
Prime instructional time	93.9%	Up from 93.8%	89.1%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$3,474	Down 37.1%	\$6,639	\$6,422
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	84.7%	Up from 68.0%	55.3%	57.7%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	90.2%	Up from 71.3%	87.7%	91.1%
SACS accreditation	No	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	No change	Good	Good
		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty school		N/A		.4%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty scho	ols	93.4%		.1%
		State Objectiv		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school		65.0%		es
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	Y	es

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Our mission at the Phoenix Center is to serve students who are divergent learners. Our enrollment is 55 students, grades 9-12. Often these students have a history of academic failure and behavioral problems in the traditional classroom and are not on target to graduate on time when they come to us. They are school-resistant youth who require trusting relationships and a supportive environment before they can begin to learn. Finding appropriate teachers for this hard-to-serve population is critical; they must have a proven record of patience and empathy for this category of students. We want our students to be job-ready and to possess life skills. Community businesses and agencies are enlisted to help them, and we utilize the services of DHEC, DSS, Mental Health, and Alcohol and Drug Abuse agencies for assistance and counseling. We emphasize the value of volunteerism and students volunteer in businesses and for individuals. Our students may attend F. E. Dubose Career Center, where they are placed in vocational areas. They have the opportunity to take college-level courses at Central Carolina Technical College. Our curriculum is based in the state standards with emphasis in making learning relevant and experiential in all subject areas. We value parent input into curriculum and discipline. We meet with parents quarterly as a group and call them for conferences on a daily basis and as needed to discuss their student's progress. The charter school experience for all of us, teachers and students alike, is a growth opportunity: we are collectively honing our skills and broadening our futures.

Anne D. Darby, Interim Director

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	6	6	8					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	I/S	I/S					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	I/S	I/S					
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	80.0%	I/S	I/S					

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.